

## **CRAWFORDSVILLE DISTRICT**



### **AGENDA**

- Registration/Networking 2:00 -3:00
- Welcome and Opening Remarks 3:00 -3:10
  - o 2023 ITT Committee Representatives
  - o 2023 assignment: Decision-Making Timely Q&A
- Recap 2022- Formalize Decision Rights 3:10 3:25
  - Review of Decision Rights Matrix
  - o Feedback on use
- 2023 Implementing Decision- Making Rights 3:25 -3:50
  - Implementing Best Practices
  - Gain Trust, Better Transparency, Improve Culture
- Break/ Networking 3:50-4:00
- Round Table Topic Discussion 4:00 to 5:00
- Next Steps/ Closing 5:00
  - Questions/Discussions/Feedback
- Networking/ Happy Hour 5:00-6:00

# Crawfordsville District ITT Committee

#### INDOT

- Jay Harris
- Scott Chandler



- Gary Pohl
- Michelle Gottschalk



- Brian Garrett
- Brian Kochersperger













# 2023 ASSIGNMENT: DECISION-MAKING TIMELY Q&A

**Goal:** Develop a Framework to formalize decision-making rights to address the challenges and delays associated with the process.



#### **Assignment background**

- KPMG Survey Identified the issue
- 2022 Committee worked on and made recommendations
- Recommendations addressed the WHAT, WHO, and WHEN
- 2023- Task is not finished- Take what we did last year and address HOW

 Same Goal: Develop a Framework to formalize decisionmaking rights to address the challenges and delays associated with the process



# 2022 RECAP- Formalize Decision Making Rights



### 2022 Crawfordsville District-Formalize Decision Rights

- 3 Recommendations
  - ➤ Matrix to clarify levels
  - >Implement a Timeline
  - ➤ Revise monetary limit for field personnel

									Decision R	ights Matrix												
		CO cost Authority		Field Level 50,000			Field Management Lev	el		Area Management Level \$250,000	Ex	secutive Construction Level \$750,000	E	ecutive Management Level \$2,000,000 +				External	Resources			
		CO time Authority		0-1 days			10 Calendar days			50 Calendar days 5-10 days		100 Calendar days		200 + Calendar days								
	Decisio	on time before escalation		0-1 days			1-5 days			5-10 days												
Recommend: Person(3) who recommend the solution/epitions A Agree: Person(4) who must agree to the solution/options				C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	ant PE		transferen	Manager	üner	Manger	en/Director	Managee	Professor	ton Mgmt	anager	utor	ord/PM	St Engineer	Engineer	ic Engineer	al Engineer	Kital
P Perform: Person(s) who imples	Perform: Person(s) who implement the solution/options		T/ms	3	1884	oject E	, in	Poolect	e E	Project	Engine	NO SO		nstruc stor O	oject N	Coordin	of Rec	dab Te	rations	RTraff	Schnic	
I Input: Person(s) providing inpu	ut to the solution/options		4D OT 1	outrac	9	N TP	90	actor	MTOG!	actor	District	fetor 8	agency	x of Co	XOTE	Usiky	- guer	Mate	0 0	TD 8tro	Geot	Salp
D Decide: Person(s) who make the	he final decision		-	· "	Z Z	Z	8	S	=	Conti	NDOT	Countr	3	Direct	ž		ă	INDON	149 Q	INDO	O N	
Issue Type	Issue Specifics	Priority Level (H, M, L)																				
	Major utility located on site that is not on the plans	н				R/I/P/A	R/I	R/A	A/D		A/D	I/D	A/D	I/D	А	P/A/D	1					A/D
	Utilty in conflict that was deemed to be clear	м				R/I/P/A	R/I	R/A	A/D		A/D	I/D	A/D	I/D	А	P/A/D	1					A/D
	Contractor refuses to dig within 2' of utility/IURC Dispute	м				P/A/D	R/I		A/D							А						А
Utility Conflict																						
																		1				
		L	R/I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	A/D													A
	Minor detail omitted from the plans/specs	н	R/A	R/A	R/P/D	R/P/D	A/D	A/D			<del>                                     </del>				A		A/D/1/P	<del>                                     </del>				A
	Pay item omitted from the contract	" M	140	190	14175	R/I/P/A	R/I	R/A	A/D	1/D	<del>                                     </del>				Α		ADJIJE					A
Design Error	Quantity bust that leads to major item overrun	m m				NITA	N/I	N/M	N/O	'''	-				м.		<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>				<u> </u>
																						-
																						<del>                                     </del>
																						<u> </u>
	Contractor submitted CO pricing with no backup info	М	R/I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	A/D	R/P												A
	Contractor pricing exceeds unit average in INDOT database	м	R/A	R/A	R/P/D	R/P/D	A/D	A/D	I/A	R/P					A							A
Change Order																					ı	
Change Order																						
	Service Point - No power service avaiable at detailed location	н	R/I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	A/D						А				I/R	I/R		A
	Contractor want to use alternate materials or methods	м	R/A	R/A	R/P/D	R/P/D	A/D	A/D	1						А		A/D/1/P		I/R	I/R		А
	Contractor want to use another instead of methods																					
Traffic Related Items																						
		M	R/I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	A/D	R/P					A		A/D/1/P				R/A/I/D	<u> </u>
	Areas of failure that wont pass testing requirements	M M	R/IA	R/A	R/P/D	R/P/D	A/D	A/D	A/D	R/P	-				Α Α		A/D/1/P	-			R/A/I/D	<del></del>
	Compacted agg. not meeting LWD testing requirements							-										-				-
Geotechnical Issues	Soils not meeting DCP requirements	M	R/I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	A/D	R/P					A		A/D/1/P	-			R/A/I/D	-
	Proof-roll failures with no clear direction on remedy in Geotechnical Report	м	R/A	R/A	R/P/D	R/P/D	A/D	A/D	A/D	R/P					A		A/D/I/P				R/A/1/D	
	Pling overrunning planned quantity		R/A	R/A	R/P/D	R/P/D	A/D	A/D	A/D	R/P					А		A/D/1/P				R/A/1/D	A
	Clearing not complete within allowable timeframe	н	R/I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	A/D	R/P	A/D		A/D									А
	Parceland available at time of letting	н	R/A	R/A	R/P/D	R/P/D	A/D	A/D	A/D	R/P	A/D		A/D		A		A/D/1/P				-	
		M	R/I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	I/P/A/D	R/I	R/I/P/A	A/D	R/P							A/D/1/P					A
Right of Way	Property owner issues - claim of promises made during buying	н	R/A	R/A	R/P/D	R/P/D	A/D	A/D	A/D	R/P					A		A/D/1/P					
	Construction limits exceed ROW	<u>"</u>		.,,	-4-7-	.,,,-			-,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							.4-1/1					<u> </u>
								<del>                                     </del>			<del>                                     </del>							<del>                                     </del>				
	1	l		l																		

	Field Level		Field Management Level			Area Management Level			Executive Construction Level			Executive Management Level			
CO cost Authority	50,000		\$50,000-250,000			\$250,000			\$750,000			\$2,000,000 +			
CO time Authority				10 Calendar days			50 Calendar days			100 Calendar days			200 + Calendar days		
Decision time before escalation		0-1 days			1-5 days		!	5-10 days	5						
	INDOT HT/Inspector	Contractor Foreman	INDOT PS/ Assistant P	INDOT Project Engineer	Contractor Superintendent	Contractor Project Manager	INDOT Area Engineer	Contractor Project Manager		INDOT District Engineer/Director	Contractor Regional Manager		State Construction Engineer	Director of Construction Mgmt.	Contractor Owner

INDOT Project Manager	
Utility Coordinator	
Designer of Record/PM	
INDOT Materials Test Engineer	External F
District Operations Engineer	Resources
INDOT Distrcit Traffic Engineer	
INDOT Geotechnical Engineer	
Local Public Agency	

Recommend: Person(S) who recommend the solution/options

Agree: Person(s) who must agree to the solution/options

**RAPID** 

Perform: Person(s) who implement the solution/options

Input: Person(s) providing input to the solution/options

**Decide**: Person(s) who make the final decision

## Design Clarifications, Errors, or **Omissions** Matrix

				Decision Rights					
				Design Clarifications, Erro	rs, or On	nissions	Issue S	pecifics	
Project Level	CO cost Authority	CO time Authority	Decision time before escalation	ordise to de	Minor detail ommitted from the plans/specs	Pay item ommitted from the contract	Quantity bust that leads to major item overrun		
				Priority Level	L	Н	М		
ē				INDOT HT/Inspector	R/I/P/A/D	R/A			
Field Level	000		ske	Contractor/Foremam	R/I	R/A			
н	\$50,0		0-1 days	INDT PS/ Assistant PE	R/I/P/A	R/P/D			
nent	\$50,000-250,000 \$50,000	days		INDOT Project Engineer	I/P/A/D	R/P/D	R/I/P/A		
Field Management	2000-25	10 Calendar days	lays	Contractor Superintendent	R/I	A/D	R/I		
	\$50,	10 C	1-5 days	Contractor Project Manager	R/I/P/A	A/D	R/A		
gemeni		r days		INDOT Area Engineer	A/D		A/D		
Area Management	\$250,000	100 Calendar days   50 Calendar days	5-10 days	Contractor Project Manager			I/D		
Area	\$250	s 50 C	5-10						
ive		lar day:		INDOT District Engineer/Director					
Executive	\$750,000	Calend		Contractor Regional Manager					
O .	\$750	100							
ive ment	+	ıdar		State Construction Engineer					
Executive Management	\$2,000,000+	200 + Calendar days		Director of Construction Mgmt					
Σ	\$2,0	200 + days		Contractor Owner					
				INDOT Project Manager		А	А		
				Utility Coordinator					
ces				Designer of Record/PM	А	A/D/I/P	ı		
External Resources				INDOT Materials Test Engineer					
xternal				District Operations Engineer					
ш				INDOT Distroit Traffic Engineer					
				INDOT Geotechnical Engineer					
				Local Public Agency	А	А	Α		



# IMPLEMENTING DECISION-MAKING RIGHTS

### THE STAGE HAS BEEN SET

#### DECISION-MAKING ROLES HAVE BEEN DEFINED

- We have identified who can be given more decisionmaking responsibility, based on both their capabilities and area of responsibility, and defined the scope of what they can make decisions about
- We have established a process flow





### FOCUS ON IMPLEMENTATION

#### A CULTURAL CHANGE

- Empowerment strengthens trust
- Support builds confidence
- Transparency fosters understanding
- Responsibility promotes engagement
- Autonomy achieves better learning
- Removing barriers increases efficiency & productivity

# WHYIS EMPOWERMENT AT EVERY LEVEL IMPORTANT?

- IN ORGANIZATIONS WHERE COMPETENT PEOPLE POSSESS CLARITY OF PURPOSE AND NEED, MAINTAINING ALL CONTROL AT SENIOR LEVELS ONLY SLOWS DECISION-MAKING AND LIMITS AGILITY
  - Senior leaders should focus on what only they should do, such as mentoring, establishing and communicating purpose and need, making strategic choices and removing roadblocks





## SO, WHATGETS IN THE WAY?

- MICROMANAGING
- POOR DELEGATION
- FEAR OF LOSING CONTROL
- FEAR OF FAILURE

### SO, HOWIS IT DONE RIGHT?

#### BEST PRACTICES

- Replacing the culture of central control with empowerment
- Aligning on and communicating clarity of intent
- Developing the hard and soft skills for delegating well
- Determining what decisions to delegate and pushing them as low as possible
- Explaining accountability and empowering people to make decisions without approval





# ROUND TABLE TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION

- 1. What is a "must do" in order to be able to widely implement this process?
- 2. What are some of the barriers inhibiting implementation and how can they be overcome?
- 3. What would make implementing this process easier?
- 4. Provide some suggestions as to how to best roll out this process for implementation.
- 5. Who should be the champions for implementation?
- 6. What communication methods do you use in making and resolving decisions?
- 7. What "Best Practices" for communication are suggested?
- 8. Suggest ways to better understand the opposite parties' view of situations.
- 9. Other suggestions for Decision Matrix Improvements and Implementation?

# INDIANA TRANSPORTATION TEAM- CRAWFORDSVILLE DISTRICT

Break

#### **THANK YOU SPONSORS**















#### **Crawfordsville ITT- Decision Making Timely Q&A**

#### ○ Feedback:

- Discuss/review/comment in groups today
- > Send additional comments to a committee member

#### • Next Steps:

- Organize a Subcommittee
- ➤ Make recommendations and Draft Guideline Documents
- > Present at the September 14, 2023, District Meeting
- > Finalize Guidance documents
- > Present at the December 21, 2023, Statewide Shindig
- > Learn how to make the decisions at lowest level, build trust and hold each other accountable

# INDIANA TRANSPORTATION TEAM- CRAWFORDSVILLE DISTRICT

**THANK YOU** 











